

## Call for tenders' details

Title: Identification, assessment, sharing and dissemination of best practices for the humane management of invasive alien species

Start date: 24/07/2018

Time limit for receipt of tenders: 07/09/2018

Contracting authority: European Commission, DG for Environment (ENV)

Status: Closed

## Call for tenders question list

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
1	09/08/2018 15:16	09/08/2018 15:36	Clarification about how to best integrate UK partners in the bid - new tender Ref. ENV.D.2/SER/2018/0019	Dear Sirs,  With respect to the rules of participation (1.1) on the new tender Ref. ENV.D.2/SER/2018/0019, that lay out specific conditions for British candidates or tenderes, I would like to ask how is it best to integrate UK partners in the proposal? Can they be members of a consortium (partners at the same level) or is it better that they are subcontractors?  Any guidance would be deeply appreciated. Thank you.	<b>09/08/2018</b> At the moment it is business as usual until we are notified of a change in procedures. Contracts will continue to be signed with UK entities even if the duration of the contract runs over the March 2019 deadline.

## Call for tenders questions summary

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
2	29/08/2018 11:47	29/08/2018 15:35	Clarification about cost-effectiveness vs. cost-benefit analysis - new tender Ref. ENV.D.2/SER/2018/0019	<p>With respect to the technical specifications (section 3) on the new tender Ref. ENV.D.2/SER/2018/0019, I hereby request a clarification on the type of analyses that need to be undertaken.</p> <p>Point "1.8. General and specific objectives' states that "The identified measures shall be assessed in terms of their cost-effectiveness, (...)". However, "Sub-task 1C – Assessment of measures" refers to a cost-benefit analysis. My question is which of these is the European Commission interested in? A cost-effectiveness analysis or a strict cost-benefit analysis that only looks at the economic benefits of the measure?</p>	<p><b>29/08/2018</b> The Commission is interested in a global assessment of the measures. Sub-task 1C looks separately at the costs and then at the effectiveness of the measures, amongst other aspects such as the potential to spare pain and suffering, or the side effects on the environment.</p> <p>With the inclusion of the phrase "cost-benefit evaluation" as an element to be addressed in the assessment of each measure, it is meant that the contractor should look at benefits in a broad sense. The analysis should not limit itself to examine the economic benefits, but should include a more global evaluation taking into account of all the other elements that need to be considered by the assessment, e.g. potential to spare pain, effectiveness, side effects.</p>

## Call for tenders questions summary

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
3	29/08/2018 15:29	30/08/2018 09:07	Request for clarification on Sub-task 3A - new tender Ref. ENV.D.2/SER/2018/0019	With respect to the technical specifications (section 3) on the new tender Ref. ENV.D.2/SER/2018/0019, I hereby request a clarification on Sub-task 3A – EU-level best practice" The tender states 'the contractor shall organise the selection of maximum one best practice....." It is likely that the best method to apply to a species will vary depending on the objective of management (eradication, restricting spread, reducing damage etc ) and the scale (local, regional or national) at which it might be applied. Does the Commission anticipate one method per species in total, or one method per species applicable to these different objectives/scales?	<b>30/08/2018</b> The Commission in sub-task 3A seeks to identify one best practice, not one method, and it seeks to identify one best practice per species in total, not one best practice for each objective (eradicate, control or contain) or scale. Best practice is not just about the methods used, but also how these methods are applied, how the public is involved, what results are achieved. Selecting one best practice intends to showcase good examples of actions taken to address a species in a particular context, with the idea of sharing ideas of measures that could be applied elsewhere, possibly with adaptations tailored to specific objectives or circumstances. The exercise does not aim at identifying the best method: as it is correctly stressed in the question a method needs to be adapted to the specific circumstances.