Call for tenders' details

Title: Analysis to support implementation of Articles 5, 6, 8 and 8c of Directive 2014/87/Euratom

Start date: 21/11/2018

Time limit for receipt of tenders: 22/02/2019

Contracting authority: European Commission, DG Energy (ENER)

Status: Closed

Call for tenders question list

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
1		10/12/2018 10:13		a joint tenderer?	10/12/2018 There is nothing in the technical specifications to prevent Technical Support Organisations (TSO's) participating in a joint tender, or any other form of tender. As with other tenderers TSO's must provide the relevant declaration for verification of non-exclusion and satisfy the selection criteria.

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
2		14/12/2018 10:33		the general practice in the domain A1 leads to projects of € 40 000 even less. Can this practice be taken into account and the Evidence A1 accepts projects at a minimum value of € 40 000?	14/12/2018 The quantitative threshold given in the selection criteria is unambiguous and therefore cannot be varied. However the threshold applies to the tender and its participants as a whole, rather to individual participants. Likewise, previous participation of the tenderer in joint tenders / consortia reaching the threshold could also be considered as evidence.

	#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
3	3	19/12/2018 16:25	20/12/2018 14:31	Criteria related to tenderers	In the section 4.2.2 "Technical and professional capacity and evidence" of the "Tender specification" document, on page 22, criteria for the Lot 2, "Evidence A1" it is required that " evidence of membership of a professional organisation [providing nuclear safety technical support services" is provided. As only one "professional organisation providing nuclear tactical spurt services" exists in the EU (ETSON), this limits the possible participation in this tender to members of ETSON, thus distorting the market. There are a number of organisations in the EU that are not a member of ETSON but being equally qualified to perform the work as required by this tender, we request that this Criterion is amended to require that a tenderer possesses the authorisation for performing nuclear safety technical support by a CRA of an EU MS.	There is no intention to limit the tenderers to members of ETSON. Tenderers may also demonstrate their capacity in this area through providing evidence of accreditation or official approval to provide nuclear safety support services. This will be also clarified in the revised version of the tender specifications that will be made available beginning of next year (a corrigendum prolonging the submission deadline and updating the content is under publication)

#	Submission date	Publication date	Question subject	Question	Answer
4	04/02/2019 08:17	05/02/2019 14:54	Safety Activities	On page 10 of the technical specifications, the first bullet top of the page, "that the defence in depth": the end of the bullet reads " safety activities are subject to, as far as reasonably practicable;". Could you please clarify whether something is missing here OR one shall read the bullet as " safety activities are subject to "as far as reasonably practicable" criteria;"?	O5/02/2019 There is no text missing. In fact, the first two bullets at the top of page 10 should be merged to read: • That the defence-in-depth effectively applies to ensure that the impact of "extreme external natural hazards" (such as earthquake, flooding or bad weather conditions) and unintended manmade hazards is minimised and that the safety activities are subject to, as far as reasonably practicable, independent layers of provisions so that in the event that a failure were to occur, it would be detected, compensated or corrected by appropriate measures;
5		07/02/2019 07:27	Scope of the project	On page 9 of the technical specifications, the last paragraph of 2.2 defines the scope of the project as "limited to those MS with nuclear installations". The first paragraph in 2.3 talks about " MS operating NPP-s". Kindly confirm that the "MS with Nuclear installations" is meant to be " MS operating NPPs only".	O7/02/2019 In order to achieve consistency between the last paragraph of Section 2.2 and the first paragraph of Section 2.3, the scope maybe considered to be limited to those Member States with operating nuclear power plants. Please note however that Section 2.3 explicitly mentions research reactors and therefore the scope is not limited to power reactors in the concerned Member States.

Generated on the 24/04/2024 19:04:56 - Generation time 4 ms